UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/955,180	09/19/2001	Koji Miyajima	034620-096	9415	
46188 THELEN REI	7590 01/11/2008 D BROWN RAYSMAN & STEINER LLP		EXAM	EXAMINER	
P. O. BOX 640640			BROWN, RUEBEN M		
SAN JOSE, CA	A 95164-0640	0640 ART UNIT PAPER N		PAPER NUMBER	
			2623	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/11/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	09/955,180	MIYAJIMA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Reuben M. Brown	2623				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timulated and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I.  lely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 9/27/	<u> 2007</u> .					
,	·					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-44</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-44</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li></ul>						
application from the International Bureau	*	id in this National Otage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	•					
Advantus and a						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)	atent Application				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 9/27/2007 has been entered.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are not moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanigawa, (U.S. Pat # 7,305,698), in view of Mao, (U.S. Pat # 7,096,484).

Considering claim 1, the claimed video distribution system that distributes video data to a user terminal that can connect to a network, comprising;

'a distribution list generating device that generates a distribution list that defines a distribution order of the video data of the user terminal and includes a link name that links video data as a distribution request destination', reads on the data transmitting apparatus 110, which includes a file storing unit 121 that stores the file list 200; Fig. 1 & Fig. 2. The claimed 'distribution order' reads on the serial number 201, that place the files in ascending order, see col. 8, lines 35-45; Fig. 2. 40-45. The claimed 'link name that links video data', reads on the HTML address for each file, such as for instance <a href="http://www.wbc.com/sub1">http://www.wbc.com/sub1</a>, disclosed in Fig. 2.

'link list generating device that generates a link list that is a correspondence list between the link name and a video data file name corresponding to the link name', also reads on the transmitting apparatus that creates a 'file list 200' which shows the correspondence between the file names and the link name, Fig. 2. The claimed, 'video data file name', corresponds with the file name shown in the rightmost column 203, of Fig. 2, col. 8, lines 18-26.

Art Unit: 2623

The additionally claimed feature, 'and stores the link list into the video distribution system', is broad enough to read on col. 8, lines 36-42; col. 9, lines 60-65.

'link list updating device that updates the video data file name corresponding to the link name in the instant link list to another video data file name depending on distribution condition, wherein the video data is distributed based on the link list' Tanigawa does not discuss the details of the updating procedure. However Mao, which is in the same field of endeavor of broadcasting web pages synchronized with TV content, provides a teaching of updating the files, see col. 10, lines 35-67. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to modify Tanigawa with the disclosure of updating the files, at least for the desirable benefit of providing the user with a wider range of information, as taught by Mao; col. 4, lines 41-67.

Considering claims 2, 9 & 12 'wherein, in the case in which the video data is associated with distribution condition information that includes as the distribution condition information at least one of, 'time and duration information', 'area information that designates the distribution area', 'cumulative distribution number information that limits the cumulative number of distributions' and 'attribute information about the user', is met by the discussion of Tanigawa that if video data is stored in a mass storage device, then at the presentation time, the system will retrieve the appropriate video data file, from the appropriate storage location, see col. 6, lines 30-50 & col. 7, lines 65-67 thru col. 8, lines 1-20.

Art Unit: 2623

Considering claims 3-4, the claimed subject matter reads on the discussion in Tanigawa that, 'in effect the system coverts the pushed data transmitted by the broadcast station to pulled data (i.e., data that can be retrieved at the request of the user); col. 14, lines 52-67 thru col. 15, lines 1-61; col. 18, lines 40-67.

Considering claim 5, the claimed 'video data selecting device that refers to the video data that is scheduled for distribution and selects video data to be distributed thereafter', reads on the Tanigawa, col. 29, lines 1-52.

Considering claim 6, the claimed, 'updating device updating the video data file by referring to the priority order list that determines priority order in the distribution of the video data', the claimed priority reads on the serial number in ascending order, shown in Fig. 2.

Considering claims 7-8 & 10, the claimed video distribution system that distributes video data user terminal comprises features that correspond with subject matter mentioned above in the rejection of claim 1 and is likewise treated.

Considering claims 11 & 13, the claimed computer-readable medium encoded with a computer program that comprise that which correspond with subject matter mentioned above in the rejection of claims 1 & 7-8, and are likewise treated. As for the claimed computer readable medium, both Tanigawa & Mao, meet the limitation.

Art Unit: 2623

Considering claims 14, 19, 24, 29, 34 & 39, the newly added subject matter reads on the disclosure in Tanigawa, that the invention is applicable in normal live TV broadcasts, see col. 19, lines 31-65; col. 20, lines 16-52.

Considering claims 15, 20, 25, 30, 35 & 40, the claimed 'obtains a distribution state', reads on the system determining that the live data objects are obsolete, col. 7, lines 60-64, col. 9, lines 26-36. And updating the video data file name corresponding to the link name to the other video data file name in accordance with the distribution state thus obtained and distribution conditions, reads on the updated version of the live content or data objects, as discussed in the analysis of claims 14, 19, 24 & 29.

Considering claims 16, 21, 26 & 31, the claimed subject matter reads on the discussion in Tanigawa that the data may be pre-stored or cached, prior to broadcasting of the associated program, col. 11; col. 18, lines 15-50.

Considering claims 17, 22, 27, 32, 36, 37, 41 & 42, the claimed subject matter merely reads on the server in Mao, which cyclically (i.e., periodically) transmits the carousels to the consumers, determining the data objects included within the stream, which is inherent in the invention and reads on the 'distribution log'. In order for the server to transmit carousel information that indicates the data objects within the stream, this information is necessarily detected at the server, see col. 7, lines 20-52.

Application/Control Number: 09/955,180 Page 7

Art Unit: 2623

Considering claims 18, 23, 28, 33, 38 & 43, Mao teaches updating the version number of

dating files to be transmitted to the consumer; thereby transmitting updated information to the

consumer, as recited in the claims. However, Mao does not explicitly disclose that the updated

file name may reference a file located at a different server from the first server. Official Notice is

taken that at the time the invention was made, it was known for a distribution system to access

data from multiple different servers in order to be transmitted to one or more consumers. It

would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made,

to modify Tanigawa & Mao with the well-known feature of providing consumers with data from

multiple servers, at least for the advantage of providing the consumers with a wider range of

programming. Therefore modifying Tanigawa & Mao with the Official Notice statement would

provide for changing the video data file name to the video data file of another file at another

server, which would allow the system to access a wider range of programming to transmit to the

consumer.

Considering claim 44, since both Tanigawa & Mao are interactive system, the claimed

subject matter reads on any particular user choosing a particular path, according to the selections,

Therefore, the updates associated with the content of a particular user, would necessarily be

different from a user that chose a different path of selections.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Application/Control Number: 09/955,180

Art Unit: 2623

www.uspto.gov

or faxed to:

(571) 273-8300, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(571) 273-7290 (for informal or draft communications, please label

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Reuben M. Brown M. Brown whose telephone number is (571) 272-7290. The examiner

can normally be reached on M-F(8:30-6:00), First Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Christopher Kelley can be reached on (571) 272-7331. The fax phone numbers for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300 for regular communications and After

Final communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained

from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available

through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Reuben M. Brown

REUBENM. BROWN

Page 8